Pages 1 - 13

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

BEFORE THE HONORABLE CHARLES R. BREYER, JUDGE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

VS. ) No. CR 13-00587 CRB

DANIEL ROSENBLEDT,

Defendant.

) San Francisco, California

Monday, November 4, 2013

## TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

## **APPEARANCES:**

For Plaintiff: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

450 Golden Gate Avenue, 10th Floor San Francisco, California 94102

BY: CHRISTINA MARIE WHEELER

For Defendant: DUANE MORRIS LLP

One Market Plaza

Spear Street Tower - Suite 2000 San Francisco, California 94105

BY: GEORGE D. NIESPOLO, ESQ.

JENNIFER BRIGGS FISHER, ESQ.

Reported By: BELLE BALL, CSR 8785, CRR, RDR

Official Reporter, U.S. District Court

## 1 Monday - November 4, 2013 10:37 a.m. 2 PROCEEDINGS THE CLERK: CR 13-0587, the United States of America 3 4 versus Daniel Rosenbledt. Appearances, counsel? 5 MS. WHEELER: Good morning, Your Honor. Chris Wheeler on behalf of the United States. 6 7 THE COURT: Good morning. MR. NIESPOLO: Good afternoon, Your Honor. George 8 9 Niespolo on behalf --10 THE COURT: Morning. It's morning. I know, it may 11 seem like afternoon. 12 MR. NIESPOLO: Did I say "afternoon"? 1.3 THE COURT: Yes. MR. NIESPOLO: Oh. 14 15 THE COURT: But it's actually -- I know, being in front of me is like an eternity where the time simply drags on 16 17 with such -- but it's still morning. Now, that doesn't mean you won't be here through the afternoon, but at least at this 18 19 point, it's this morning. MR. NIESPOLO: I would prefer not to come back at 20 2:00, your Honor. 21 22 THE COURT: Okay. MR. NIESPOLO: George Niespolo on --23 24 THE COURT: Well, we may have an issue. 25 MR. NIESPOLO: Well --

THE COURT: We don't.

MS. WHEELER: I don't believe so, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Oh, no, that's right. This is the

Antitrust Division.

1.3

2.0

2.1

MS. WHEELER: Yeah.

THE COURT: So it's good enough for the Antitrust

Division not to have this condition in, which, by the way, I

think is -- I'm not going to comment on it, but you already

heard my views on this subject.

The Antitrust Division isn't saying: You know, if they change the law, why shouldn't the defendant get the benefit of that?

And by the way, the benefit of that, just not to put too fine a point on it -- I'm sorry Mr. Leung isn't here -- the benefit of that is that the Court simply re-examine the issue again, and make some determination as to whether or not it's appropriate to do anything about it. That's all it is. It's not a mandatory -- at least, so far, it's not mandatory in the crack powder disparity, it was simply if a judge wants to consider and thinks under all the circumstances he or she might have applied a different sentence, imposed a different sentence or other circumstances and so forth, then you do it. And that's what Congress , when they enacted the statute, wanted done.

MR. NIESPOLO: Was there resistance to that?

1 THE COURT: Weren't you here this morning? 2 MR. NIESPOLO: I was. 3 THE COURT: Well, you heard what he said. He said: 4 No, I can't agree to that. I have to go back to talk to the 5 United States Attorney about that. 6 MR. NIESPOLO: (Inaudible) 7 THE COURT: Yeah, I think there is some resistance to that. But I'm not blaming Mr. Leung. I mean, he -- you know, 8 9 he did what I would expect attorneys to do, which is that: I'm not authorized to -- to start changing a plea agreement. 10 11 Which is smart. But then I did notice that the Antitrust Division -- and 12 1.3 last I looked, they still are part of the government -doesn't require it. 14 15 MR. NIESPOLO: (Nods head) THE COURT: And by the way, there may be substantial 16 17 changes in the -- the Sentencing Commission now is looking at the issue of economic crimes. And trying to figure out, you 18 19 know, what are appropriate sentences with respect to -- it's 2.0 not simple. It's very complicated. 2.1 Anyway, that's enough of me. Okay. 22 MR. NIESPOLO: Your Honor, I would also like to 23 announce the appearance of Jennifer Briggs Fisher, who is here 24 with me today representing Mr. Rosenbledt.

THE COURT: Welcome.

25

```
1
             MR. NIESPOLO: Who is present in the courtroom.
 2
              THE COURT: Okay, so we are here for plea. Is that
 3
    correct?
 4
             MR. NIESPOLO: That's correct.
 5
              THE COURT: Would you administer the oath to
 6
    Mr. Rosenbledt.
 7
         (Defendant placed under oath)
              THE COURT: Mr. Rosenbledt, do you understand that
8
9
    you are now under oath, and must answer my questions
    truthfully?
10
              THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
11
              THE COURT: May I have your full name, please.
12
1.3
              THE DEFENDANT: Daniel James Rosenbledt.
14
             THE COURT: And how old are you?
                             Sixty years of age.
15
              THE DEFENDANT:
              THE COURT: How far did you go in school?
16
17
              THE DEFENDANT: I graduated from a four-year college.
              THE COURT: Okay. Have you been treated recently for
18
    any mental illness or addiction to narcotic drugs of any kind?
19
              THE DEFENDANT: No, I haven't.
2.0
2.1
              THE COURT: Are you currently under the influence of
22
    any drug, medication, or alcoholic beverage of any kind?
23
              THE DEFENDANT: No, I'm not.
24
              THE COURT: Have you received a copy of the charges
2.5
    pending against you?
```

1 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I have, Your Honor. 2 THE COURT: And have you discussed those charges and 3 your case in general with your attorney? 4 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I have. 5 THE COURT: Are you fully satisfied with the 6 representation given to you in this case by your attorney? 7 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I am. THE COURT: There is a plea agreement in this case. 8 9 Did you have an opportunity to read and discuss the plea agreement with your attorney before you signed it? 10 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I did. 11 THE COURT: Does the plea agreement represent in its 12 13 entirety any understanding you have with the government? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, it does. 14 15 THE COURT: You understand all the terms of the plea 16 agreement? 17 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 18 THE COURT: Has anyone made any promise or assurance 19 that is not in the plea agreement, to persuade you to accept 2.0 this agreement? THE DEFENDANT: No one has. 2.1 22 THE COURT: Has anyone threatened you in any way to 23 persuade you to accept this agreement? 24 THE DEFENDANT: No, they have not. 25 THE COURT: Do you understand that the terms of the

plea agreement are merely recommendations to the Court? 2 I can reject the recommendations without permitting you to 3 withdraw your plea of guilty, and impose a sentence that is 4 more severe than you may now anticipate? 5 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do, Your Honor. 6 THE COURT: Has anyone attempted in any way to force 7 you to plead guilty, or otherwise threatened you? THE DEFENDANT: 8 No. 9 THE COURT: Has anyone made any promises or assurances of any kind to get you to plead guilty, other than 10 those that are contained in the plea agreement? 11 THE DEFENDANT: No, they haven't. 12 1.3 THE COURT: Are you pleading guilty of your own free will, because you are guilty? 14 15 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I am. THE COURT: Do you understand that the offenses to 16 17 which you are pleading guilty -- is it multiple? MR. NIESPOLO: (Nods head) 18 THE COURT: Offenses to which you are pleading are 19 felony offenses; if your pleas are accepted, you will be 2.0 2.1 judged guilty of those offenses? And that such adjudication 22 may deprive you of valuable civil rights such as the right to 23 vote, the right to hold public office, the right to serve on a 24 jury, and the right to possess any kind of firearm? 25 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I am, Your Honor.

1 **THE COURT:** Are you a citizen of the United States? 2 THE DEFENDANT: I am. 3 THE COURT: Okay. What are the possible consequences 4 of the plea? 5 MS. WHEELER: For Counts 1 and 3, 15 U.S. Code 6 Section 1, bid-rigging, the maximum penalties are a term of 7 imprisonment of ten years; a fine of \$1 million, or two times the gross gain or loss whichever is greater; a period of 8 9 supervised release of not more than three years; a \$100 special assessment per count; and restitution. 10 11 Pursuant to Counts 2 and 4, 18 U.S. Code Section 1349, conspiracy to commit mail fraud, the maximum penalties are a 12 1.3 term of imprisonment of 30 years; a fine of \$1 million; a period of supervised release of not more than five years; a 14 15 \$100 special assessment per count; restitution; and forfeiture of any property constituting or derived from proceeds the 16 17 defendant obtained, directly or indirectly, as a result of the violation pursuant to 18 U.S. Code Section 981(a)(1)(c). 18 19 THE COURT: Do you understand those are the possible 20 consequences of your plea? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do, Your Honor. 21 22 THE COURT: Have you discussed the advisory 23 sentencing guidelines with your attorney? 24 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I have. 25 THE COURT: Do you understand that's only one factor

1 among other factors that the Court considers in determining 2 your sentence? 3 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do. 4 THE COURT: And I believe that the plea agreement 5 contemplates the possibility of your cooperation with the 6 government. Is that correct? 7 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, it does. THE COURT: And do you understand that -- that the 8 9 issue of whether you are to be awarded or given a departure for rendering substantial assistance to the government is a 10 decision that must be made by the government, as distinct from 11 either the Court or your attorney in the first instance? 12 1.3 you understand that? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do. 14 15 THE COURT: In other words, your attorney can't make 16 a motion that you get a 5K1 departure or substantial 17 assistance departure, nor can the Court, because the authority 18 rests solely with the government. 19 Do you understand that? 2.0 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do. 21 THE COURT: Okay. Do you understand that you have 22 the right to plead not guilty to any offense charged against 23 you, and persist in that plea? 24 You would then have a trial by jury. At trial you would 25 be presumed to be innocent, the government would have to prove

1.3

each and every element of each and every offense in order for you to be found guilty of that particular offense.

You would have the right to assistance of counsel for your defense, appointed by the Court if necessary, at trial and at every other stage of the proceeding.

You would have the right to see and hear all the witnesses; have them cross-examined in your defense; the right on your own part to decline to testify, unless you voluntarily elected to do so in your own defense; and the right to compel the attendance of witnesses to testify in your defense. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

THE COURT: Do you further understand that should you decide not to testify or put on any evidence, these facts cannot be used against you?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

THE COURT: Do you further understand that by entering a plea of guilty, if the plea is accepted by the Court there will be no trial, and you will have given up your right to a trial, as well as the other rights associated with trial as I've just described them?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

**THE COURT:** What are the essential elements of the offense?

MS. WHEELER: For Counts 1 and 3, that the defendant

participated in a combination and conspiracy to suppress and restrain competition by agreeing to rig bids, to obtain title to selected real estate offered at public foreclosure auctions in San Mateo and San Francisco Counties; that this agreement was unreasonable restraint of trade; and that the business activities of the defendant and his co-conspirators were within the flow of or substantially affected interstate commerce.

For Counts 2 and 4, conspiracy to commit mail fraud, that there was an agreement between two or more persons to commit the crime of mail fraud; and that the defendant became a member of the conspiracy knowing of at least one of its objects, and intending to help accomplish it.

THE COURT: Do you believe that the government would be able to produce evidence at trial that would establish each and every element of these offenses, beyond a reasonable doubt?

THE DEFENDANT: I do, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And would you look at the plea agreement, please, starting on Page 3. You have that before you?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Paragraph 4, which starts on Line 19 and goes through Page 4 in its entirety, goes through Page 5 of its entirety, and on Page 6, concludes on Line 22. Do you see that?

1 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 2 THE COURT: And did you read that paragraph or those 3 paragraphs, those pages -- it's Paragraph 4, but it's over 4 several pages. Did you read those pages carefully? 5 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I did. 6 THE COURT: And is everything stated in those pages 7 true and correct? THE DEFENDANT: They are, Your Honor. 8 9 THE COURT: You may take the plea. THE CLERK: Daniel Rosenbledt, how do you plead to 10 11 Counts 1 through 4 of the information? THE DEFENDANT: Guilty. 12 1.3 THE CLERK: Your Honor, the defendant Daniel Rosenbledt has pled guilty to Counts 1 through 4 of the 14 15 information. THE COURT: It is the finding of the Court is that 16 17 the defendant is fully competent, capable of entering informed pleas; the defendant is aware of the nature of the charges and 18 the consequences of the pleas; and the pleas of guilty are 19 knowing and voluntary pleas, supported by an independent basis 2.0 in fact containing each of the essential elements of the 2.1 offenses. 22 The pleas are therefore accepted. The defendant is now 23 24 judged guilty of those offenses. 25 THE CLERK: Would you like a status for April 2nd at

```
2:00?
 1
 2
             MS. WHEELER: Sure, that would be great.
 3
         (Off-the-Record discussion between Defendant and Counsel)
              THE CLERK: Did you get the date?
 4
 5
             MR. NIESPOLO: What time?
              THE CLERK: 2:00.
 6
 7
             MS. WHEELER: Thank you, Your Honor.
 8
              THE COURT: Okay?
             MR. NIESPOLO: Thank you, Judge. Thank you so much.
9
10
              THE COURT: Sure. Thank you very much. Is that it?
11
              THE CLERK: That's it.
12
              THE DEFENDANT: Thank you, Your Honor.
13
              THE COURT: Okay, thanks.
14
         (Proceedings concluded)
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER I, BELLE BALL, Official Reporter for the United States Court, Northern District of California, hereby certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter. elleBall <u>/s/</u> Belle Ball Friday, January 27, 2017 Belle Ball, CSR 8785, CRR, RDR